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Abstract—The scope of this paper is the definition of the most appropriate design parameters for a straw pellets torrefaction reactor of medium scale (capacity 1000 kg/h). However prior to the definition of these parameters, at subsequent level a thermodynamic tool is built and run, capable of predicting the heating value and the main characteristics (% solid residue, proximate analysis) of the torrefied straw pellets, always with parameters: the residence time and temperature.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Torrefaction is a mild pyrolysis process carried out at temperatures 200-300°C, in which biomass produces three main products: a solid product of a brown/dark color; a condensable liquid including mostly water, acetic acid, and other oxygenates, non-condensable gases – mainly CO₂, CO, and small amounts of CH₄.

The last two products are categorized as volatiles. During torrefaction the raw material loses most of its moisture and other volatiles which have a low heating value [1]. The type and amount of gas that is released during torrefaction depends on the raw material type and properties as well on torrefaction process conditions, including basically, temperature and residence time. Therefore the most important parameters, which should be taken into consideration for the design of a torrefaction unit, are: feeding material properties, temperature and residence time.

Torrefaction is used as a pretreatment step for biomass conversion into energy and heat techniques such as gasification and co-firing. The torrefaction thermal treatment not only destructs the fibrous structure and tenacity of biomass, but is also known to increase its calorific value. Also after the torrefaction, the biomass has more hydrophobic characteristics allowing the higher time storage of torrefied biomass, because of its rotting behavior. Specifically, due to the breakage of OH-groups in torrefaction process, the material loses its tendency to absorb water so it remains stable and hydrophobic. Hence, the formation of unsaturated structures is the key to preserve the torrefied biomass against the biological degradation.

During the process of torrefaction the biomass partly devolatilizes, leading to a decrease of its mass, but the initial energy content of the torrefied biomass is mainly preserved in the solid product. Therefore the energy density of the torrefied biomass is higher, making it more attractive in terms of its logistic chain. More specifically the torrefied biomass undergoes physical changes and becomes light weighted flaky and improves grind ability.

As far as torrefaction process is concerned, very few thermodynamic approaches can be found in the recent literature. All of them use as a basis the ASPEN Plus platform and its modeling is based on experimental measurements provided by various types of reactors. More specifically, Dudgen [2] used the experimental data by Zanzi et al. [3] for modeling several biomass types, while the reactor block of ASPEN that he used for modeling products composition is RYield. The same stands for Haryadi et al. [4]. In addition, Syu and Chiueh [5] used the experimental data of Prins at al. [6] for rice straw torrefaction.

Our goal is the definition of the most appropriate design parameters for a straw pellets torrefaction reactor of medium scale (capacity 1000 kg/h). However prior to the definition of these parameters, at subsequent level a thermodynamic tool is built and run, capable of predicting the heating value and the main characteristics (% solid residue, proximate analysis) of the torrefied straw pellets, always with parameters: the residence time and temperature. These studies were conducted with financial support from the Ministry of Education and Science of Russia (state contract № 16.526.11.6010).

II. MODEL

In Di Blasi and Lanzetta study [7], the solid mass fraction ($\bar{W} = W / M_0$), where $W$ is the sample and the solid $M_0$ the initial mass sample, is given in detail in the following Fig. 1 for wheat straw as a function of time, at several reaction temperatures. In all cases, it was observed that the heating time, the time needed to attain the reaction temperature, is always significantly shorter than the conversion time and at the time the reaction temperature’s attained; only a small fraction of the solid has undergone torrefaction. Specifically the respective ratio between the conversion and the heating time varies from a maximum of about 1050 seconds (low temperatures) to a minimum of about 5 to 6 seconds (high temperatures).

The process become successively faster as the reaction temperature is further increased while the final solid char yield continuously decreases. Furthermore, a change in the shape of the weight loss curves appears in a limiting temperature range of 513-520 K. Indeed, for temperatures...
below this value, only one reaction zone is seen, whereas for larger values two different regions are observed. This can be more clearly seen in Fig. 2 where the common logarithm of the non-dimensional solid weight, defined as $P = (W - M_{C_0})/M_0$ is reported as a function of time for wheat straw ($M_{C_0}$) is the mass of the solid residual, left after the devolatilization (torrefaction) process is completed.

where $A$ is the initial biomass, $B$ an intermediate reaction solid product, $C$ the final torrefied biomass, and $V_1$, $V_2$ the produced volatiles. In fact, the first stage represents the degradation of cellulose whereas the second one the degradation of semi-cellulose. The differential equations that express the mass loss or production along the time at the stage I are:

$$\frac{dM_A}{dt} = -K_1M_A \Rightarrow M_A = M_0e^{-K_1t}$$ (1)

$$\frac{dM_{V_1}}{dt} = K_{V_1}M_A \Rightarrow M_{V_1} = \frac{K_{V_1}}{K_1}M_0(1-e^{-K_1t})$$ (2)

$$\frac{dM_{A \rightarrow B}}{dt} = K_B M_A \Rightarrow M_{A \rightarrow B} = \frac{K_B}{K_1}M_0(1-e^{-K_1t})$$ (3)

An Arrhenius type temperature dependency is considered:

$$K_i = k_0e^{\frac{E_i}{RT}}$$, $i = A, B, C, V_1, V_2$ (6)

The kinetic parameters according to [7] for wheat straw pyrolysis are summarized at the following Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$k_0$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$E$ (J/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>53568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_1$</td>
<td>110000</td>
<td>75121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_2$</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>66541.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>47290.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For temperature below 250°C only the first stage takes place. For temperature $T \geq 250°C$, there is a specific time, denoted as critical time $t^*$, at which the second stage begins and dominates over the first one. At this time instant $t^*$, the product yield of solid $B$ is denoted as $M_B^*$. Moreover it stands that:

$$\frac{M_B}{M_0} = \frac{M_{V2}}{M_0} + \frac{M_{C_0}}{M_0} = 1 - \frac{M_{F+e}}{M_0}$$ (7)
where \( \frac{M_{V1}}{M_0} \) and \( \frac{M_{V2}}{M_0} \) are the maximum amount of released volatiles at the stage I and II respectively and \( M_{C0} \) is the total residual after the devolatilization is complete. For straw pellets, based on the literature data, both \( \frac{M_{C0}}{M_0} \) and \( \frac{M_{V2}}{M_0} \) can be calculated as a function of temperature, according to the following equations:

\[
\frac{M_{C0}}{M_0} = 0.60 - 0.0041(T[^\circ C] - 200) = \frac{K_C K_B}{K_1 K_2} \tag{8}
\]

\[
\frac{M_{V2}}{M_0} = 0.17 + 0.001(T[^\circ C] - 260) = \frac{K_{V2} K_B}{K_1 - K_2} \tag{9}
\]

\[
\frac{M_{V1}}{M_0} = \frac{K_{V1}}{K_1} \tag{10}
\]

According to [1] and [7] the solid residual \( W \) at the end of the process is:

\[
\frac{W}{M_0} = \frac{M_A + M_B + M_C}{M_0} = M_B - \left( \frac{M_B^*}{M_0} - \frac{M_{C0}}{M_0} \right) \left( 1 - e^{-K_2 (t - t')} \right) \tag{11}
\]

Mass \( M_A \) has been determined from the former stage, and \( M_{V2} \) are calculated from the equation:

\[
\frac{M_{V2}}{M_0} = \frac{M_A + M_B - W}{M_0} \Rightarrow \frac{M_{V2}}{M_B^*} = 1 + \frac{M_A - W}{M_B^*} \tag{12}
\]

The reduction of solid \( M_B \) due to the reactions at stage II is:

\[
\frac{dM_{B^{\rightarrow \text{C}}}}{dt} = -K_2 M_B^{\rightarrow \text{C}} \Rightarrow M_B^{\rightarrow \text{C}} = M_B^*(1 - e^{-K_2 (t - t')}) \Rightarrow M_B^{\rightarrow \text{C}} = \frac{M_B}{M_B^*} \tag{13}
\]

where \( M_B^{\rightarrow \text{C}} \) is the residue of \( M_B \) after reaction in stage II. Hence, the solid \( M_C \) production is easily obtained by:

\[
\frac{dM_C}{dt} = -K_C M_B^{\rightarrow \text{C}} \Rightarrow \frac{M_C}{M_0} = K_C \left( 1 - e^{-K_2 (t - t')} \right) \Rightarrow \frac{M_C^*}{M_B^*} = K_C \frac{K_B}{K_2 (K_1 - K_1)} \left( 1 - e^{-K_2 (t - t')} \right) \tag{14}
\]

The composition of torrefied biomass is determined from the corresponding correlations from literature. The torrefied biomass ultimate analysis for C, O and H is determined by the correlations from relevant studies. Similarly methodology is adopted for the proximate analysis. As far as nitrogen and sulfur prediction, it is assumed, that whole of S is remained at the torrefied solid and N goes with volatiles. Moreover, ash is totally remained at the solid part. Hence, the correlations that are applied are:

\[
\frac{C_{\text{solid}}}{C_{\text{total}}} \, \text{(kg/kg)} = -0.00147 T[^\circ C] - 0.010 \frac{t[s]}{3600} + 1.22 \tag{15}
\]

\[
\frac{H_{\text{solid}}}{H_{\text{total}}} \, \text{(kg/kg)} = -0.0040 T[^\circ C] - 0.020 \frac{t[s]}{3600} + 1.87 \tag{16}
\]

\[
\frac{O_{\text{solid}}}{O_{\text{total}}} \, \text{(kg/kg)} = -0.0050 T[^\circ C] - 0.015 \frac{t[s]}{3600} + 2.02 \tag{17}
\]

On the other hand, fixed carbon (FC) and volatiles matter (VM) are dependent on torrefaction temperature according to the following equations:

\[
\frac{FC_{\text{solid}}}{FC_{\text{total}}} \, \text{(kg/kg)} = -0.0003 T[^2\circ C] + 0.1762 T[^\circ C] - 24.149 \tag{18}
\]

\[
\frac{VM_{\text{solid}}}{VM_{\text{total}}} \, \text{(kg/kg)} = -0.0122 T[^\circ C] + 3.88 \tag{19}
\]

The vast majority of non-condensable components (‘gas’) are carbon dioxide CO2 and carbon monoxide CO. Tito Ferro et al. [8] showed that CO2/CO fraction is not affected from residence time but only from temperature. The correlation that is extracted from the experimental data and incorporated to the model in terms of produced CO2/CO fraction is:

\[
\frac{CO_2}{CO} = 0.000472 - 0.227 T + 32.5 \frac{kmol}{kmol} \tag{20}
\]

The fraction of gas to liquid components is determined by the relevant studies [10], [11]. Based on the literature data, we made the rough assumption that the gas/liquid ratio remains equal to 0.25:

\[
\text{gas/liquid} = 0.25 \frac{kg}{kg} \tag{21}
\]

### III. VALIDATION MODEL

This section presents the validation of the thermodynamic modeling approach, but for heating rates close to the real one in pilot and industrial scale reactors. In such units the heating rates are equal to around 10°C/s. For the validation and the scenarios investigated in this section the experimental data presented by Prins et al. [9] are used. Unfortunately, this paper presents results for only two torrefaction temperatures: 248°C and 267°C and thus the described results are considered as validated for torrefaction temperatures greater than 248°C (Fig. 4).

In Table II a fuel composition of torrefied biomass for different values of temperature is given. Since during torrefaction a devolatilization is conducted, the volatiles mass reduction is higher as the temperature increases.
addition, among the three important substances of the fuel (C, H, O) oxygen is released with higher rate. Finally, the increase in ash content should be also pointed out.

In a two-batch reactor, the torrefaction process is conducted in two stages with different operation parameters. The raw straw pellets enter to the first stage, are undergone to torrefaction up to a point and consecutively enter to the second batch in order the fuel pretreatment to be completed. Of course, an additional batch exists for solids cooling. A schematic view of the apparatus is depicted at the following Fig. 5.

In order to investigate the effectiveness of a multi-batch reactor, several case studies were simulated and compared (Table III). It is assumed that the residence time in each of the double-batch case is the same and equal to the half of the total.

According to results of Table III, a double-batch reactor with a higher operating temperature at its first stage (scenario 2) has a considerable advantage (higher mass yield and energy efficiency) over the single one. Comparing the one-batch scenario 4 with scenarios 2 and 3 similar final results are observed. It makes clear that the division of the process in two steps has beneficial effect only if the second batch is operating at a smaller temperature than the first one.
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