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Abstract—Two different Mn-porphyrins; Mn(TPP)OAc (TPP; tetraphenylporphyrin) and Mn(T4PyP)OAc (T4Py; tetra4-pyridylporphyrin) were prepared and their catalytic activities were determined in the epoxidation of alkenes with Oxone® (2KHSO₅.KHSO₄.K₂SO₄). Mn(T4PyP)OAc was then immobilized on multiwalled carbon nanotube (MWCN) as solid support. The catalytic activity and efficiency of the prepared Mn(T4PyP)OAc@MWCN was studied and compared to the other catalysts. Mn(TPP)OAc and Mn(T4PyP)OAc are active than Mn(T4PyP)OAc@MWCN, however the later is more efficient than that of the others, providing a turnover number of 342 and indicating the resistance of the Mn(T4PyP)OAc@MWCN to auto-degradation. The influence of pH, the nature of the solvent and active intermediate was studied. The molar ratio of catalyst, co-catalyst (imidazole), substrate (alkene) and oxidant were found to be 1: 10: 50: 60 which seem to be the optimal molar amounts for alkene epoxidation. A catalytic cycle is postulated in which the intermediate involves interaction of HSO₅⁻ with the Mn-porphyrin followed with oxidation of the alkene substrates.

Index Terms—Epoxidation, mn-porphyrin, multiwall carbon nanotube (MWCN).

I. INTRODUCTION

The feedstocks for many common compounds derived from petroleum products are oxygenated hydrocarbons such as aldehydes, ketones, alcohols and carboxylic acids. These in turn may be obtained by cracking petroleum which yields ethylene and other alkenes, and saturated hydrocarbons or from synthesis gas-derived methanol. Conversion of the produced hydrocarbons to oxygenated compounds utilizes transition metals as catalysts. In general, the best catalysts are both active (producing high yields rapidly) and selective (producing mainly the desired product). In this context, transition metal complexes of porphyrins as P450 enzyme mimics are well known for their ability to carry out a wide range of oxidation reactions in homogeneous systems [1].

However they readily undergo decomposition (due to self-oxidation) in solution phase. Therefore, immobilization of these catalysts to insoluble supports is particularly attractive as it should prevent this deactivation process. Further advantages of immobilization include simplified procedures for product purification and catalyst recovery and reuse [2].

In this study, we describe our investigations into the influence of catalyst in supported and unsupported forms on the oxidation of alkenes by Oxone® (2KHSO₅.KHSO₄.K₂SO₄) using Mn(TPP)OAc, (1), Mn(T4PyP)OAc (2), and Mn(T4PyP)OAc@MWCN (3) (Fig. 1).

II. EXPERIMENTAL

All chemicals were of reagent grade quality obtained from commercial sources (Merck or Fluka) and used without further purification. The free base porphyrins: TPPH₂ and T4PyPH₂ were prepared and metalated by methods reported previously [3].

A. Preparation of Mn(T4PyP)OAc@MWCN; 3

A mixture of 30 ml concentrated nitric acid and 30 ml concentrated chloridric acid were added to 10g MWCN and the resulted suspension refluxed for 24 hours. Then it was filtered, washed with distilled water till pH arrived around 7.
and dried in 110°C. 1.5 g of prepared functionalized MWCN was then added to 50 ml of CH₂Cl₂ and 0.15 g of Mn(T4PyP)OAc was added into the mixture and refluxed for 24 hours. Under this condition, Mn(T4PyP)OAc interacted with MWCN. After cooling the reaction flaks, Mn(T4PyP)OAc@MWCN; 3 was filtered and dried in 110°C for 4 hours.

B. Procedure for Catalytic Oxidation

In a 5 ml vessel containing 0.4 ml EtOH and 0.8 ml H₂O, 0.05 mmol of alkene, 0.01 mmol of imidazole (as co-catalyst) were added to 0.001 mmol of the catalyst. After adjusting of pH=4.5 with acetic acid, 0.06 mmol of Oxone® (2KHSO₅.KHSO₄.K₂SO₄) was added to the vessel. The reaction was stirred for required time at 25°C and the yields based on the alkene substrates were determined by GC (Agilent 6890N; USA with SE-30 packed column) using chlorobenzene as the internal standard.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1 and 2 were prepared by the reaction of Mn(OAc)₂.4H₂O with H₂TPP and H₂T4PyP as free base porphyrin ligands [4]. The UV-Vis analysis of 1 and 2 shows intense Soret bands at 478 and 461 nm respectively with some Q-bands at 500-700 nm region for both complexes, characteristic of the manganese (III) porphyrins (Fig. 2) [4].

Multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) was activated by a simple acid refluxing process and interacted with Mn(T4PyP)OAc (2) to prepare Mn(T4PyP)OAc@MWCN; 3 as solid catalyst, in which the chemical interactions between the Mn atoms and/or pyridyl groups and MWCN was suggested to be covalent and non-covalent.

Fig. 3 shows the SEM image of 3 with magnification of 10000. The instrumental parameters, accelerating voltage, spot size, and magnification and working distances are indicated on SEM image. We can observe that 2 is deposited effectively on MWCN, providing a uniform surface contain a homogeneous arrangement of 2 at MWCN. Moreover, the catalyst was dispersed on the surface of the MWCNT with an average particle size of ~50.0 nm.

IV. OXIDATION

The oxidation reactions were carried out following the method described in our previous works [5] using 1, 2 or 3, imidazol, alkene and Oxone® as catalyst, co-catalyst, substrate and oxidant, respectively. Using 0.001 mmol of the required catalyst, the molar ratio of the catalyst, co-catalyst, substrate and oxidant were found to be 1: 10: 50: 60.

This is the optimal molar ratios, because the epoxidation of alkenes was achieved at short time with high yield under this condition.

We found that that EtOH/H₂O is the best solvent among the CH₂Cl₂, CH₃CN, CH₃CN/H₂O and EtOH/H₂O, since α-methylstyrene is oxidized selectively to the corresponding epoxide with high yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Alkene</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Time(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that the epoxide formation is very depended
on pH and the maximum α-methylstyrene epoxide was obtained at pH=4.5 (Fig. 4). So the optimal molar condition of reagents, solvent and pH described here was applied for a number of alkenes and the excellent results are summarized in Table I.

It is clear that both steric and electronic factors of alkenes affected on the yield and time of the epoxidation reactions. For instance, electron-rich α-methylstyrene (run 1) is more reactive than cyclohexene (run 2) for epoxidation by the Mn-Por/Oxone-Im catalytic system. Moreover, 1-octene (run 4) as a linear alkene with inherent steric properties displays lower activity in this alkene series (Table I).

Reviews of the literature on metalloporphyrins show that the reaction yield represents the catalytic activity and turnover number (TON: the ratio of the number of moles of produced epoxide to the number of moles of catalyst) is a characteristic of the catalytic efficiency of the catalysts. In the epoxidation of α-methylstyrene with Mn-Por/Oxone-Im, it is clear that 1 is the active among the catalysts (Fig. 5). The catalyst 2 displayed the lowest activity, leading to only 20% epoxide yield. However, a comparison between TONs obtained with 1, 2 and 3 under similar conditions; show that the later is more efficient than shows that the latter is more efficient and hence is appropriate as an effective, stable and reusable catalyst.

As shown in Fig. 5, 3 exhibited efficiency higher than that of 1 and 2, since 3 displayed highest turnover number (342 cycle) [4]. These observations clearly show that catalyst 2 lose its catalytic activity under the epoxidation reactions because of destruction of the porphyrin framework during the catalytic cycle. However, immobilization of 2 on MWCN allows obtaining an easy recycling solid catalyst 3, which can be used several times without significant losing of its activity.

One important problem is the degradation of metalloporphyrin catalyst in organic oxidations. In this work Mn(T4PyP)OAc@MWCN; 3 as a solid catalyst was prepared and found to be an efficient catalyst than homogenous Mn(TPP)OAc and Mn(T4PyP)OAc complexes. The highest turnover of Mn(T4PyP)OAc@MWCN; 3 (in comparison with Mn(TPP)OAc and Mn(T4PyP)OAc) and its resistance to degradation are the highlights of this catalyst. We have found that epoxidation of alkenes was affected by pH of the reaction. Moreover, the electronic and steric properties of the alkenes as well as the Mn catalysts affected the yield and turnover number and the time of the reaction. The intermediate involve an Oxo-porphyrin responsible of alkene epoxidation was proposed with smile catalytic cycle.
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