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Abstract—The present study aim at studying the histological effects of both aluminum phosphate (Alum) and calcium phosphate (CAP) nanoparticles adjuvant in parallel with their potentials as adjuvant and the related immune response to tetanus toxoid vaccine adsorbed on both of them. Ninety Swiss albino mice were used in the experiment (50% adults and 50% juveniles). Mice were immunized intramuscularly with 0.125 ml adjuvanted tetanus toxoid vaccine. For alum adjuvant study, 27 adult mice and 27 juvenile ones were injected with alum adjuvanted vaccine and sacrificed weekly as triplets for 9 weeks. For calcium phosphate adjuvant study, 15 adult mice and 15 juvenile ones were injected with calcium phosphate adjuvanted vaccine and sacrificed weekly as triplets for 5 weeks. The effect of alum and calcium phosphate nanoparticles adjuvants in enhancing the immune response of tetanus toxoid vaccine were monitored through measurement of antibody titer in sera of mice. The pathological effect of both adjuvants were monitored through histological study of liver, brain, kidney and injected muscle of sacrificed animals. Recorded data revealed that both adjuvanted vaccine caused histopathological changes in tissues of liver, kidney, brain and injected muscle. On the other hand alum adjuvanted tetanus toxoid vaccine was more potent and showed higher antibody level than CAP adjuvanted vaccines.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Vaccines usually require additional exogenous adjuvants to improve the immune response to the antigens following immunization [1]. Hence, one of the most significant challenges in vaccinology is the selection of suitable adjuvants [2]. Virtually, all adjuvant systems developed to date have focused on one of two mechanisms: specific immune activation or the delivery-depot effect [3]. Although many adjuvant systems have been developed and tested in preclinical models, few have actually proved useful for human vaccines. The primary limitations for the use of new adjuvant systems with human vaccines revolve around safety issues [3]. They added also that the toxicity of adjuvants has been reduced systematically through research and development efforts over the last 80 years. In the United States, alum compounds are the most extensively used adjuvants in licensed vaccines for humans, although they effectively enhance immune responses, there are several disadvantages associated with their use [4]. The disadvantages of alum-based adjuvants include the severity of local tissue irritation, the longer duration of the inflammatory reaction at the injection site, minimal induction of cell-mediated immunity and a propensity to elicit undesirable immunoglobulin E (IgE) responses [5]. For these reasons, new adjuvants are being developed to enhance the immunity against weak antigens.

Nanomaterial has unique physicochemical properties, such as ultra-small size, large surface area to mass ratio, and high reactivity, which are different from bulk materials of the same composition. These properties can be used to overcome some of the limitations found in traditional vaccines [6]. Efforts with calcium adjuvants have continued, and work with calcium phosphate nanoparticles has had some preclinical success [7]. Calcium phosphate (CAP) and aluminium phosphate (alum) compounds have been approved as vaccine adjuvants for human use in several European countries [8]. This study aims at evaluating the effect of both aluminium phosphate and calcium phosphate nanoparticles used as vaccine adjuvants on the immune response and the histological structure of liver, brain, kidney and injected muscle of adult and juvenile immunized mice.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Animals

Ninety Swiss albino mice were used in this experiment, obtained from the Egyptian Company for the Production of Sera and Vaccines (EgyVac.), affiliate of the Holding Company for Biological Products and Vaccines (Vacsera). Forty five of them were adult mice (18-22 gm) and the other forty five were juvenile (10-12 gm). The mice were housed under standard condition in plastic laboratory cages in the animal facility of the same place and maintained on a standard mouse diet of pellets and water ad-libitum. All animals used in the study were allowed to acclimatize for a period of one week before the start of the experiment.

B. Preparation of Adjuvant

Aluminum phosphate (Alum) nanoparticles adjuvant: Alum adjuvant was prepared according to the methods of [9], [10].
Calcium phosphate (CAP) nanoparticles adjuvant: CAP nanoparticles adjuvant was prepared according to the method of [11].

C. Immunization of the Experimental Laboratory Animals
Mice were immunized intramuscularly with the equivalent dose which is the highest dose tolerated by mice (0.125 ml) [12].

D. Evaluation of Immune Response against Alum and Calcium Phosphate Adjuvanted Vaccine by Antibody Titer in Serum of Immunized Animals
The antibody titer against immunization with vaccine adsorbed on both alum and CAP was evaluated using indirect enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (indirect ELISA) [12]. The immune response was detected in the sera of the sacrificed mice of all groups using indirect Eliza method [12], [13].

E. Histological Study
Small specimens from liver, kidney, brain and the injected muscle were collected from dissected mice, fixed in neutral buffered formalin then proceeded to obtain 5μm hematoxylin and eosin sections were prepared, examined with light microscope for the identification of the histological changes [14]. A number of photomicrographs were taken at known magnification using (Leica DMLS light microscope).

F. Study Design
Animals were divided into three main groups:

Group I (a & b) Consisted of 3 adult and 3 juvenile mice (respectively) and sacrificed after the 1st week.

Group II (a & b) Consisted of 54 mice, divided into two groups, each of 27 mice/group, adult and juvenile mice (respectively). Immunized with alum adjuvanted tetanus toxoid vaccine and sacrificed after the 1st to the 9th week post immunization.

Group III (a & b) Consisted of a total of 30 mice, divided into 15 mice/group, adult and juvenile mice (respectively). Immunized with CAP adjuvanted tetanus toxoid vaccine and sacrificed after the 1st to the 5th week post immunization.

Evaluation of antibody titer in serum of all sacrificed animals took place, and for histological study, the liver, brain, kidney and injected muscle were removed after animal sacrifice.

The experimental time durations were chosen according to [15] who reported that the irritation caused by an aluminum hydroxide gel and suspension persisted for 8 weeks, while the local tissue reactions caused by the injection of CAP gel and suspension completely ceased by the 4th week. Thus, the present study continued for 9 weeks post immunization in case of alum adjuvanted vaccine and 5 weeks in case of CAP adjuvanted vaccine and the samples were collected weekly.

G. Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed for statistical significance by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). P value of <0.05 was considered as a significant [16].

III. RESULTS

A. Evaluation of Immune Response Study
The immune response was compared between adult and juvenile groups for each used adjuvant (alum and CAP). Another comparison was also conducted between the immune response against alum, CAP and positive control (immunized with tetanus toxoid only) in both adult and juvenile groups.

In case of the immunization with alum adjuvanted tetanus toxoid, the antibody level showed higher values in adult than juvenile groups along the 9 studied weeks except at the 6th week post immunization where the antibody level of the juvenile group was higher than that of the adult ones (Fig. 1). In case of the immunization with CAP adjuvanted tetanus toxoid, the antibody level was higher in the adult groups than juvenile ones along the 5 weeks of the experiment except at the 4th week post immunization where the antibody level was higher in the juvenile group than adult ones (Fig. 2).

Antibody production by adult and juvenile mice are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 respectively.

The antibody production following the injection of adult and juvenile mice with tetanus toxoid only (as positive control), tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum and tetanus toxoid adsorbed on CAP was compared. It was found that the antibody level recorded higher values along the whole experiment, when using alum adjuvant than when using CAP adjuvant, and already than the positive control (without using adjuvant). These results were found in both adult and juvenile groups (Fig. 3 & Fig. 4) respectively.
Fig. 3. Antibody production by adult mice following injection with tetanus toxoid only, tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum and tetanus toxoid adsorbed on calcium phosphate.

Fig. 4. Antibody production by juvenile mice following injection with tetanus toxoid only, tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum and tetanus toxoid adsorbed on calcium phosphate.

B. The Histological Study

The results revealed that the histological changes in the liver of the adult and juvenile groups were severe in case of using tetanus toxoid adjuvanted on either alum or CAP nanoparticles. These changes included hepatocellular necrosis infiltrated by mononuclear cells, hydropic degeneration and distinct Kupffer cells (Fig. 5-Fig. 10).

The histological changes of the kidney were severe with the use of alum adjuvant in both adult and juvenile groups. This included mononuclear cell infiltration, vacuolation of renal tubule epithelium and congestion of peritubular capillaries. While the changes in case of CAP nanoparticles adjuvant, the changes were restricted to the appearance of mononuclear cell aggregation (Fig. 11-Fig. 13).

The histological changes observed in the brain were also severe in case of alum adjuvant with both adult and juvenile groups and were more obvious at the 6th week post immunization and it included cerebral congestion, meningeal hemorrhage, thickening and hyalinization of blood vessel, neuronal degeneration and brain edema. While the changes in case of calcium phosphate included cerebral congestion and cerebral tissue vacuolation (Fig. 14-Fig. 16).

The histological changes occurring in the injected muscle were severe in case of CAP nanoparticle adjuvant with both adult and juvenile groups and it included large aggregation of mononuclear cells, intramuscular hemorrhage, and hyaline degeneration of muscle fiber, muscular necrosis and calcium deposition while the changes in case of alum adjuvant included mononuclear cell infiltration and muscular hemorrhage (Fig. 17-Fig. 21).

Fig. 5. Photomicrograph of liver section of adult mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum adjuvant 1 week post immunization showing hepatocellular necrosis (arrow) replaced by mononuclear cells (white arrow) (H & E, X 400).

Fig. 6. Photomicrograph of liver section of juvenile mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum adjuvant 2 weeks post immunization showing individual hepatocellular necrosis (double head arrow) with Kupffer cell (arrow) (H & E, X 400).

Fig. 7. Photomicrograph of liver section of juvenile mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum adjuvant 5 weeks post immunization showing hydropic degenerated cells with cytoplasmic swelling (white arrow) and individual cell necrosis (arrow) (H & E, X 400).

Fig. 8. Photomicrograph of liver section of adult mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on calcium phosphate nanoparticles adjuvant 1 week post immunization showing vacuolation (arrow) and necrosis of centrilobular hepatocytes with perivascular mononuclear cell aggregation (white arrow) (H & E, X 200).
Fig. 9. Photomicrograph of liver section of adult mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on calcium phosphate nanoparticles adjuvant 1 week post immunization showing hepatocellular necrosis (arrow) infiltrated by mononuclear cells (white arrow) (H & E, X 400).

Fig. 10. Photomicrograph of liver section of juvenile mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on calcium phosphate nanoparticles adjuvant 1 week post immunization showing perivascular mononuclear cell aggregation (arrow) with infiltration of hepatic sinusoids (white arrow) (H & E, X 200).

Fig. 11. Photomicrograph of kidney section of adult mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on calcium phosphate nanoparticles adjuvant 1 week post immunization showing intense perivascular aggregation of mononuclear cells (white arrow) (H & E, X 400).

Fig. 12. Photomicrograph of kidney section of juvenile mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum adjuvant 1 week post immunization showing mononuclear cell infiltration (arrow) in interstitial tissue with congestion of peritubular capillaries (white arrow) (H & E, X 400).

Fig. 13. Photomicrograph of kidney section of juvenile mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum adjuvant 2 weeks post immunization showing vacuolation of renal tubular epithelium (arrow) (H & E, X 400).

Fig. 14. Photomicrograph of brain section of adult mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum adjuvant 6 week post immunization showing thickening and hyalinization (double head arrow) of blood vessel wall associated with hemorrhage (arrow) (H & E, X 200).

Fig. 15. Photomicrograph of brain section of adult mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on alum adjuvant 6 weeks post immunization showing brain edema (white arrow) (H & E, X 400).

Fig. 16. Photomicrograph of brain section of adult mouse immunized with tetanus toxoid adsorbed on calcium phosphate nanoparticles adjuvant 1 week post immunization showing cerebral tissue vacuolation (arrow) and cerebral congestion (double head arrow) (H & E, X 200).
Vaccines have profound impact on global health although concerns persist about their potential role in autoimmune or other adverse reactions [17]. To address these concerns, vaccine components like immunogens and adjuvants require critical evaluation for healthy subjects and their safety. In the present study, aluminium phosphate (alum) and calcium phosphate (CAP) nanoparticles were prepared and used as adjuvants.

The results revealed that the used adjuvants increased the immune response of the vaccine adsorbed on them. But it was higher in case of alum than in CAP nanoparticles. The immune response was also higher in adult mice than in juvenile ones in most results, which mean that it could be age dependent. This is in agreement with [17], [18] who stated that CAP adjuvant induced a lower level of IgE when compared to alum adjuvant and with [19], [20] who observed a decreased level of local irritation in experimental animals.

The histological changes in the liver of adult and juvenile groups were severe in case of both alum and CAP nanoparticles. These changes included hepatocellular necrosis infiltrated by mononuclear cells, hydropic degeneration and appearance of Kupffer cells. Kupffer cells activation might indicate that nanoparticles activate the phagocytic activity of the sinusoidal cells by increasing the number of Kupffer cells to help in removing the accumulated nanoparticles [21]. The swelling of hepatocytes might be exhibited as a result of disturbances of membranes function, that could be confirmed by the accompanied leakage of lysosomal hydrolytic enzymes [22]. The hydropic degeneration is a result of ion and fluid homeostasis unbalance that lead to an increase in intracellular water [22]. The histological changes appearing in the kidney of adult and juvenile groups were pronounced in case of alum adjuvant. The changes included mononuclear cell infiltration, vacuolation of renal tubule epithelium and congestion of peritubular capillaries, while the changes in case of CAP nanoparticles adjuvant were restricted to the appearance of mononuclear cell aggregation. Infiltration of monocytes to kidney is known to correlate with proteinuria and onset of kidney damage [23]. The histological changes observed in the brain of both adult and juvenile groups were well noticed in case of alum adjuvant and more obvious at the 6th week.
post immunization. The changes included cerebral congestion, meningeal hemorrhage, thickening and hyalinization of blood vessel, neuronal degeneration and brain edema. These results were in agreement with the results of many studies [24], where mentioned that aluminum in particular has long been associated with neuronal degeneration and neurodegenerative diseases [25]. It was stated that aluminum adjuvanted vaccines showed an increase in aluminum levels in the murine brain [26]. They suggested that aluminum is transported to the brain by the iron-binding protein transferrin and enters the brain via specific transferrin receptors. On the other hand [27] work agreed with the present results of the alun severe effect on the brain suggesting that the enhancement of inflammation and the interference with cholinergic projections may be the modes of action through which aluminum may cause learning and memory deficits, and contribute to pathological processes in Alzheimer’s disease. The changes in case of CAP nanoparticles adjuvant included cerebral congestion and cerebral tissue vacuolation, these changes appeared in both adult and juvenile groups. Ref. [28] stated that calcium phosphosilicate nanocomposite particles can be effectively targeted to gastrin receptors in vivo in a model of pancreatic cancer, and further showed the potential for targeting across the blood-brain-barrier. This could explain the potential of the CAP molecules to penetrate the blood-brain-barrier and causing the observed changes. It was also stated that because nanoparticles can pass through biological membranes, they can affect the physiology of most cells, including brain and testes [29]. CAP nanoparticles could induce increase of cells arrest in S, G2/M and increased apoptotic population by interfering mitochondrial structure and function [30].

The histological changes occurring in the injected muscle of both adult and juvenile groups in case of alum adjuvant included mononuclear cell infiltration and muscular hemorrhage are on line with [31], [32]. While in case of using CAP nanoparticles adjuvant the changes were severe. The changes included large aggregation of mononuclear cells, intramuscular hemorrhage, hyaline degeneration of the muscle fiber, muscular nerosis and calcium deposition. The results of [33] disagreed with the present work. They postulated that this was probably due to the fact that the CAP nanoparticles are not recognized by the inflammatory cells due to their smaller size. On the other hand the present study did not agree with what mentioned [34] that tissue reactions caused by injection of CAP adjuvant completely ceased by the 4th week, while irritation caused by alum persisted till 8 weeks.

The results of the present work showed toxic effects on the different studied tissues (liver, kidneys, brain and the injected muscle). This could be explained on the basis that the small size of CAP with its higher permeability to cell membranes and consequence directly affected the cells leading to the mentioned pathological changes observed in the examined tissue [35]. The hemorrhages indicated that the endothelium was damaged due to the direct toxic effect of the used adjuvant, however; the presence of monocytic infiltration indicated that the body immune response dealt with this foreign substance as a toxic material [35].

V. CONCLUSION

The result of this study revealed that the presence of adjuvant has marked increase in antibody level meanwhile the presence of alum in vaccine models has better immune response than CAP nanoparticle where none adsorbed vaccines showed the lowest antibody level. At the same time both of used adjuvants has histological effects which mainly targeting the liver and some other organs.
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